

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/734,424	12/12/2003	Bernhard Sander	DT-6716	3920	
30377	7590 03/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DAVID TOREN, ESQ. GARCIA			ERNESTO		
SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD, LLP 787 SEVENTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, NY 10019-6018		3679		
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

İ								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
$\sim$		10/734,424	SANDER ET AL.					
0	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ernesto Garcia	3679					
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Ex - aft - If ti - If N - Fa - An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum will expire SIX (6). Cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2003.						
2a)[								
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	ition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applica	tion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
	·		to by the Examiner					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachme	•	🗂						
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/734,424

Art Unit: 3679

## Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed coupling:

Figures 1 and 3a

II. Figure 2

III. Figure 3b

IV. Figure 3c.

V. Figure 3d

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims to all species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Alexander Zinchuk on March 17, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/734,424

Art Unit: 3679

## Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 703-308-8606. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30-6:00. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E.G.

March 17, 2005

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Samel P Stodals